

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/857,333	PHILLIPS ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jon Eric Angell	1635	

All Participants:

(1) Jon Eric Angell.

(2) Sima Kulkarni.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 10 December 2004

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

25

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

DAVE T. NGUYEN
PRIMARY EXAMINER

[Signature]

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: After the Notice of allowability was issued, it was noticed that claim 25, which depends on cancelled claim 2, was still pending. Applicant's representative, Sima Kulkarni, was contacted by the Examiner to inquire about the status of claim 25 (see attached Interview summary). Ms. Kulkarni indicated that claim 25 should be cancelled, and approved the correction by Examiner amendment.



DAVE T. NGUYEN
PRIMARY EXAMINER